

SAMPLE REPORT

Case Description: Arnold G. — Nuclear Power Facility Adjustment Rating Report

Arnold G., age 34, obtained a BS in electrical engineering at a state university. He has been employed by an electronics firm for the past 10 years in product development. His recommendations from previous employers are strong, and no work-related problems were noted. He did not report any health problems or psychiatric consultations.

He was recently offered a position with a nuclear facility in the Midwest. The MMPI-2 was administered as part of the evaluation process to ensure that nuclear control employees are free of psychological adjustment problems.

Case descriptions do not accompany MMPI-2 reports, but are provided here as background information. The following report was generated from Q-global $^{\text{M}}$, Pearson's web-based scoring and reporting application, using Mr. G.'s responses to the MMPI-2. Additional MMPI-2 sample reports, product offerings, training opportunities, and resources can be found at PearsonClinical.com/mmpi2.

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. or its affiliate(s). All rights reserved. Q-global, Always Learning, Pearson, design for Psi, and PsychCorp are atrademarks, in the U.S. and/or other countries, of Pearson Education, Inc. or its affiliate(s). Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 and MMPI-2 are registered trademarks of the University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN. 8795-A 01/14

ALWAYS LEARNING PEARSON



Nuclear Power Facility Adjustment Rating Report

MMPI®-2

The Minnesota Report™: Revised Personnel System, 3rd Edition James N. Butcher, PhD

Name: Arnold G.

ID Number: 2522

Age: 34

Gender: Male

Years of Education: 16

Date Assessed: 1/31/14

Standard Level Addiction Potential



Copyright © 1989, 1991, 1994, 1995, 2001, 2003 by the Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved. Portions reproduced from the MMPI-2 test booklet. Copyright © 1942, 1943 (renewed 1970), 1989 by the Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved. Portions excerpted from the MMPI-2 Manual for Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation, Revised Edition. Copyright © 2001 by the Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved. Distributed exclusively under license from the University of Minnesota by NCS Pearson, Inc.

Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory and **MMPI** are registered trademarks and **The Minnesota Report** is a trademark of the University of Minnesota. **Pearson**, the **PSI logo**, and **PsychCorp** are trademarks in the U.S. and/or other countries of Pearson Education, Inc., or its affiliate(s).


TRADE SECRET INFORMATION

Not for release under HIPAA or other data disclosure laws that exempt trade secrets from disclosure.

[7.9/1/QG]

ALWAYS LEARNING PEARSON


MMPI-2 VALIDITY PATTERN



Cannot Say (Raw):	0
Percent True:	32
Percent False:	68

	Raw Score	T Score	Resp. %
S ₁ -Beliefs in Human Goodness	11	60	100
S2-Serenity	9	61	100
S ₃ -Contentment with Life	5	55	100
S ₄ -Patience/Denial of Irritability	5	54	100
S ₅ -Denial of Moral Flaws	3	51	100

MMPI-2 CLINICAL AND SUPPLEMENTARY SCALES PROFILE




Welsh Code: 3+-410687/952: K-L/F:

Profile Elevation: 52.9

[V 1.2]

MMPI-2 CONTENT SCALES PROFILE



OPENNESS TO EVALUATION

	•			1011	
Extremely Defensive	Defensive	Adequate	Quite Open	Frank	Indeterminate
		COCIAI			
		SOCIAL	FACILITY		
Poor	Problems Possible	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Indeterminate
		ADDICTION	POTENTIA	L	
	(ST	ANDARD C	RITERIA LE	VEL)	
	•			•	
High	Moderate	Problems Possible	No Apparent Problem	Low	Indeterminate
This ind	ex is associated	with addiction pot	ential; it does n	ot confirm current	abuse.
STRESS TOLERANCE					
	Problems				
Low	Possible	, Adequate ,	Good	High	, Indeterminate
	I.		I		
OVEDALL AD HISTMENT					
OVERALL ADJUSTMENT					
Poor	Problems Possible	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Indeterminate

He cooperated sufficiently with the evaluation to allow an Overall Adjustment rating to be made. He appears to be generally well-adjusted and seems to have no psychological problems at this time.



CONTENT THEMES

MMPI-2 content themes may serve as a source of hypotheses for further investigation. These content themes summarize similar item responses that appear with greater frequency with this applicant than with most people.

No significant content themes are apparent in this applicant's MMPI-2 profile.



ADDITIONAL SCALES

2222		Non-Gendered			
	Raw Score		T Score	Resp %	
Personality Psychopathology Five (PSY-5) Scales				-	
Aggressiveness (AGGR)	6	43	44	100	
Psychoticism (PSYC)	5	56	56	100	
Disconstraint (DISC)	13	46	51	100	
Negative Emotionality/Neuroticism (NEGE)	9	49	48	100	
Introversion/Low Positive Emotionality (INTR)	13	54	55	100	
Supplementary Scales					
Anxiety (A)	5	43	42	100	
Repression (R)	24	69	70	100	
Ego Strength (Es)	41	58	60	100	
Hostility (Ho)	14	45	46	100	
Harris-Lingoes Subscales					
Depression Subscales					
Subjective Depression (D ₁)	3	40	39	100	
Psychomotor Retardation (D ₂)	6	54	53	100	
Physical Malfunctioning (D_3)	2	43	42	100	
Mental Dullness (D ₄)	1	43	43	100	
Brooding (D ₅)	0	40	39	100	
Hysteria Subscales					
Denial of Social Anxiety (Hy ₁)	6	61	62	100	
Need for Affection (Hy ₂)	10	63	63	100	
Lassitude-Malaise (Hy ₃)	0	38	39	100	
Somatic Complaints (Hy ₄)	4	57	55	100	
Inhibition of Aggression (Hy ₅)	6	71	70	100	
Psychopathic Deviate Subscales					
Familial Discord (Pd ₁)	1	45	44	100	
Authority Problems (Pd ₂)	5	60	64	100	
Social Imperturbability (Pd ₃)	5	57	58	100	
Social Alienation (Pd ₄)	4	50	50	100	
Self-Alienation (Pd ₅)	1	38	39	100	
Paranoia Subscales					
Persecutory Ideas (Pa ₁)	2	52	52	100	
Poignancy (Pa ₂)	1	41	40	100	
Naivete (Pa ₃)	5	51	50	100	

		Non-Gendered		
	Raw Score		T Score	Resp %
Schizophrenia Subscales				
Social Alienation (Sc ₁)	0	39	38	100
Emotional Alienation (Sc ₂)	0	40	40	100
Lack of Ego Mastery, Cognitive (Sc ₃)	0	42	42	100
Lack of Ego Mastery, Conative (Sc ₄)	0	39	39	100
Lack of Ego Mastery, Defective Inhibition (Sc ₅)	2 2	54	53	100
Bizarre Sensory Experiences (Sc ₆)	2	51	50	100
Hypomania Subscales				
Amorality (Ma ₁)	1	42	44	100
Psychomotor Acceleration (Ma ₂)	3	39	39	100
Imperturbability (Ma ₃)	3 3 2	47	48	100
Ego Inflation (Ma ₄)	2	43	43	100
Social Introversion Subscales (Ben-Porath, Hostetle	r. Butcher. &	& Graham		
		42	41	100
Shyness/Self-Consciousness (Si ₁)	6	62	64	100
Social Avoidance (Si ₂) AlienationSelf and Others (Si ₃)	4	47	47	100
AllehationSelf and Others (Si ₃)	4	4/	4/	100
Content Component Scales (Ben-Porath & Sherwoo	od)			
Fears Subscales				
Generalized Fearfulness (FRS ₁)	0	44	43	100
Multiple Fears (FRS ₂)	2	45	42	100
Depression Subscales				
Lack of Drive (DEP ₁)	0	40	40	100
Dysphoria (DEP ₂)	1	50	48	100
Self-Depreciation (DEP ₃)	0	41	41	100
Suicidal Ideation (DEP ₄)	0	45	46	100
Health Concerns Subscales Gastrointestinal Symptoms (HEA.)	0	44	44	100
Gastrointestinal Symptoms (HEA ₁) Neurological Symptoms (HEA ₂)	2	54	52	100
General Health Concerns (HEA ₃)	0	40	41	100
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U	40	71	100
Bizarre Mentation Subscales	_			
Psychotic Symptomatology (BIZ ₁)	2	64	64	100
Schizotypal Characteristics (BIZ ₂)	2	54	54	100
Anger Subscales				
Explosive Behavior (ANG ₁)	1	45	46	100
Irritability (ANG ₂)	2	46	45	100
Cynicism Subscales				
Misanthropic Beliefs (CYN ₁)	5	47	48	100
Interpersonal Suspiciousness (CYN ₂)	1	39	40	100
interpersonal suspiciousness (C1112)	1		10	100

		Non-Gendered		
	Raw Score	T Score	T Score	Resp %
Antisocial Practices Subscales				
Antisocial Attitudes (ASP ₁)	5	46	48	100
Antisocial Behavior (ASP ₂)	2	52	56	100
Type A Subscales				
Impatience (TPA ₁)	1	39	40	100
Competitive Drive (TPA ₂)	1	39	39	100
Low Self-Esteem Subscales				
Self-Doubt (LSE ₁)	0	39	40	100
Submissiveness (LSE ₂)	2	55	53	100
Social Discomfort Subscales			_	
Introversion (SOD ₁)	6	53	55	100
Shyness (SOD ₂)	2	47	46	100
• • • •				
Family Problems Subscales Family Discord (FAM ₁)	1	40	39	100
Familial Alienation (FAM ₂)	1	49	50	100
ranimai Anchation (raivi ₂)		49	30	100
Negative Treatment Indicators Subscales				
Low Motivation (TRT ₁)	0	42	42	100
Inability to Disclose (TRT ₂)	2	52	53	100

Uniform T scores are used for Hs, D, Hy, Pd, Pa, Pt, Sc, Ma, the content scales, the content component scales, and the PSY-5 scales. The remaining scales and subscales use linear T scores.

WORK DYSFUNCTION ITEMS

The following items may be significant in understanding the client's work performance. These items have been found to be related to dysfunctional attitudes or negative behavior in employment situations. Although these items may serve as a source of hypotheses for further investigation, caution should be used in interpreting individual items because the respondent may have misread the item or inadvertently marked the wrong answer.

Any Work Dysfunction items he endorsed are listed below with the direction of his endorsement indicated in parentheses. The endorsement percentages of different reference groups are presented in brackets following the item. The first number "N" is the percentage of the MMPI-2 normative sample who endorsed that item in the scored direction. The second number "P" is the percentage of individuals in the large job applicant sample (Pearson Assessments, 1995) who endorsed the item in the scored direction.

15. Item Content Omitted. (True) [N = 37%, P = 28%]

Special Note:

NOT

SHOWN,

The content of the test items is included in the actual reports. To protect the integrity of the test, the item content does not appear in this sample report.

End of Report

NOTE: This MMPI-2 report can serve as a useful guide for employment decisions in which personality adjustment is considered important for success on the job. The decision rules on which these classifications are based were developed through a review of the empirical literature on the MMPI and MMPI-2 with normal-range individuals (including job applicants) and the author's practical experience using the test in employee selection. The report can assist psychologists and physicians involved in personnel selection by providing an "outside opinion" about the applicant's adjustment. The MMPI-2 should NOT be used as the sole means of determining the applicant's suitability for employment. The information in this report should be used by qualified test interpretation specialists only.

This and previous pages of this report contain trade secrets and are not to be released in response to requests under HIPAA (or any other data disclosure law that exempts trade secret information from release). Further, release in response to litigation discovery demands should be made only in accordance with your profession's ethical guidelines and under an appropriate protective order.